

# Journal Pre-proof



*APOL1*, Black Race, and Kidney Disease: Turning Attention to Structural Racism

Jessica P. Cerdeña, M.Phil., Jennifer Tsai, M.D., M.Ed., Vanessa Grubbs, M.D., M.P.H.

PII: S0272-6386(21)00055-X

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.11.029>

Reference: YAJKD 57353

To appear in: *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*

Received Date: 6 August 2020

Accepted Date: 17 November 2020

Please cite this article as: Cerdeña JP, Tsai J, Grubbs V, *APOL1*, Black Race, and Kidney Disease: Turning Attention to Structural Racism, *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* (2021), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2020.11.029>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the National Kidney Foundation, Inc.

***APOLI*, Black Race, and Kidney Disease: Turning Attention to Structural Racism**

Jessica P. Cerdeña, M.Phil.,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Jennifer Tsai, M.D., M.Ed.,<sup>3</sup> Vanessa Grubbs, M.D., M.P.H.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Anthropology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

<sup>3</sup> Department of Emergency Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

<sup>4</sup> Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco School of Medicine, San Francisco, CA, USA

**Corresponding Author\***

Jessica P. Cerdeña

10 Sachem St

New Haven, CT 06511

jessica.cerdena@yale.edu

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1317-116X>

The incidence of end-stage kidney disease (ESKD) is nearly three times higher in Black relative to White Americans.<sup>1</sup> A significant body of biomedical literature links this racial inequity to polymorphic variation in the gene encoding apolipoprotein L1 (*APOLI*). In fact, in a PubMed search of “APOL1” and “kidney disease,” 86 percent of results feature abstracts mentioning African ancestry or African American, Black, or non-White race. Multiple recent articles state that *APOLI* genetic variants are found “exclusively” in people, or chromosomes, of “African” origin.<sup>2-4</sup> Further, an ongoing clinical trial—the *APOLI* Long-term Kidney Transplantation Outcomes Network (APOLLO) study—seeks to examine the relationship between *APOLI* genotype and racial disparities in allograft survival among deceased kidney donors and ESKD among living kidney donors.<sup>5</sup> Such tight coupling of *APOLI* variation and Black race lends the impression that racial disparities in kidney disease are genetically determined and homogeneously distributed across persons labelled as ‘Black,’ a misguided hypothesis that can skew investment toward interventions with limited effect. In this editorial, we review the current literature on *APOLI*, discuss the scientific flaws of linking *APOLI* ‘risk’ alleles with Black race, and emphasize the role of structural racism as a determinant of racial disparities in kidney disease.

### **Background on *APOLI***

Genetic variation on chromosome 22q has been associated with increased susceptibility to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis (FSGS), HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN), and hypertension-attributed ESKD (H-ESKD). In particular, strong signals have been identified in association with two nonsynonymous coding variants (p.S342G:I384M) in the last exon of

*APOLI*, termed G1, and with a six-base-pair deletion that removes two amino acids (N388Y389), termed G2.<sup>6</sup>

Patterns of linkage disequilibrium in the region of G1 and G2 provide strong evidence for positive selection. In particular, because the *APOLI* variants evade activity of the serum-resistance associated protein of *T. b. rhodiense*—one of the vectors of African sleeping sickness—it is hypothesized that heterozygosity for these alleles confers a selective advantage against the disease.<sup>7</sup> Furthermore, the presence of these variants in Yoruban populations from Nigeria and in some Black Americans, and their relative scarcity in European and Asian populations, evince the likelihood of a recent selection event in West Africa.<sup>6,8</sup> Together, these genetic data have led clinical investigators to focus on *APOLI* polymorphisms as a key, rather than a contributory, explanatory variable in racial disparities in kidney disease. For instance, in a recent editorial, Kopp and Winkler name “variants in *APOLI* as major driver[s] of kidney disease in Blacks” and accordingly discuss recommendations for clinical testing of risk genotypes.<sup>9</sup> This approach, however, is based upon a misapplication of population genetics and exacerbated by conflation of genetic ancestry and race.

### **The Error and Harm of Linking *APOLI* Variants to Black Race**

Race is a social and political construct perpetuated by those in power and should not be used as a substitute for thoughtful, hypothesis-driven genetic analyses.<sup>10</sup> Human genetic and phenotypic variants, including superficial physical differences like skin color and hair texture, are clinally distributed across geographic space and are not discernible by race or continent.<sup>11,12</sup> Researchers may lean on terms like “ancestry,” which imply evolutionary history and may carry biological significance at an individual level of analysis;<sup>13,14</sup> however, when paired with a wide

geographical modifier (i.e., “African”), such phrases lose meaning and become little more than a substitute for race. Genetic conclusions cannot be made about the populations of entire continents or entire races; accordingly, discussing *APOLI*-associated kidney disease as a concern of—and exclusive to—people of “African descent” reflects racist practice in medicine and research,<sup>15</sup> even if and often done unconsciously. In this section, we identify specific flaws inherent in the logic of associating *APOLI* ‘risk’ alleles with Black race and outline potential harms to patients.

Sweeping associations between *APOLI* ‘risk’ polymorphisms and Black race risk overlooking the impact of *APOLI*-associated nephropathy on other populations. Although *APOLI* ‘risk’ variants are most often seen in people of West African descent, other groups—including European American, Pakistani, and Latin American patients—also carry these polymorphisms.<sup>16–18</sup> Even though this is likely due to admixture, these findings suggest that limiting clinical inquiries about *APOLI*-associated kidney disease to ‘Black’ patients inappropriately excludes patients of other racial or ethnic backgrounds. The APOLLO study, for instance, only recruits Black kidney donors, restricting insights into the role of the *APOLI* genotype on clinical outcomes to an artificially smaller population. Researchers may be reluctant to generalize their findings to White, Asian, and Latinx patients who carry G1 and G2 alleles who would otherwise benefit.

Moreover, the frequency of *APOLI* ‘risk’ alleles varies widely across the African continent. For instance, the frequency of G1 among the Igbo in Nigeria is 30.2 percent but 0 percent among the Lemande of Cameroon.<sup>17</sup> Yet, patients from both of these ethnic groups would be racialized as ‘Black’ in the United States. Additionally, even among genetically similar ethnic groups, significant variation in allelic frequency is evident. Genetic research comparing

the genotypes of the Yoruba of Nigeria and the Luhya of Kenya, two ethnic groups of high genetic similarity, found that no other sites were as differentiated between the two groups as G1. This large variation cannot be explained by genetic drift and instead points to a selection event occurring within a narrowly circumscribed population in West Africa.<sup>7</sup> Therefore, conclusions about *APOLI* polymorphisms cannot be generalized to all residents of the African continent nor to all individuals of African descent.

Because many enslaved Africans were captured from the West African coast during the trans-Atlantic slave trade, Black Americans descended from slaves may be expected to exhibit higher frequencies of G1 and G2. Indeed, current research suggests that over 20 percent of Black Americans carry one ‘risk’ mutant and 13 percent carry two.<sup>19</sup> However, recent patterns of Black African migration reveal an influx from Northern and Eastern Africa, regions where the *APOLI* ‘risk’ alleles are far less prevalent.<sup>20</sup> Accordingly, given the continued inflow of Black migrants from diverse regions of Africa—and ongoing admixture between descendants of the enslaved with genetically distinct populations—wide heterogeneity in frequency of *APOLI* variants among individuals racialized as ‘Black’ may be expected, depending on population sampling. In summary, sweeping attributions of kidney disease risk among Black Americans to *APOLI* ‘risk’ alleles overlooks the increasing genetic diversity within this community and others.

Incautious conflation of *APOLI* genotype and Black race can promote misinformed conclusions that *all* Black patients experience genetic predisposition toward kidney disease.<sup>21</sup> This is reflected in the Kidney Donor Risk Index (KDRI), a tool that includes Black race in its calculation of allograft longevity for donated kidneys. Substitution of *APOLI* genotype for race in the equation would improve the KDRI for 85 to 90 percent of Black donors, likely reducing

discard of high-demand kidneys.<sup>22</sup> Thus, generalizations about Black race and *APOLI* risk alleles are scientifically inappropriate and contribute to healthcare waste.

Finally, the link between *APOLI* polymorphisms and kidney disease is not as straightforward as some research implies. Although possessing two ‘risk’ alleles yields an odds ratio of 10.5 and 7.3 for FSGS and H-ESKD, respectively,<sup>6</sup> the overall lifetime risk is just 4 and 12 percent. Additionally, possessing two ‘risk’ alleles explains just 37, 18, and 7 percent of the variance in risk for HIVAN, FSGS, and H-ESKD, respectively,<sup>19</sup> while most reports find no association with diabetic nephropathy, the leading cause of kidney disease.<sup>17</sup> *APOLI* variants account for roughly 30 percent of the excess non-diabetic kidney disease in Black Americans,<sup>23</sup> highlighting the importance of environmental factors underpinning racial disparities in kidney disease.

### **Turning Attention to Structural Racism as a Determinant of Kidney Disease**

Racial groups are not clearly demarcated by patterns of genetic variation; however, in the United States, histories of inequitable distribution of resources along racial lines have systematically created unequal environments and life opportunities between Black and White communities, which differentially impact their health. Structural racism refers to “the totality of ways in which societies foster racial discrimination through mutually reinforcing systems.”<sup>24</sup> Disparities in kidney disease derive from unequal conditions in wealth, employment, residence, toxic environmental exposures, nutrition, education, healthcare access, and psychosocial stress.<sup>25–29</sup> Mediators of ESKD—such as diabetes, hypertension, and HIV—can be traced to racialization of the U.S. food system,<sup>30</sup> mass incarceration,<sup>31</sup> and poverty.<sup>32</sup> Structural determinants of health thereby deserve at least as many dedicated resources as those afforded to genetic inquiry, yet research and funding streams consistently favor investigation into biological

and genetic underpinnings of racial disparities in health over issues of racism or racialization.<sup>33,34</sup>

In fact, a simple Boolean search of the terms “kidney disease” and “racism” in the National Institutes of Health Research Portfolio Online Reporting Tools Database for 2019 to 2020 yields just 5 results whereas pairing “kidney disease” with term “genetic” yields 754 results.<sup>33</sup>

Racism itself can induce multiple physiologic changes involved in the pathogenesis of kidney disease. Chronic stress from interpersonal and structural racism can initiate long-term activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, which can constitutively increase vascular tension. Such stress can also lead to failure to downregulate the inflammatory response and increased production of reactive oxygen species, which can promote interstitial fibrosis.<sup>25</sup> Further, epigenetic modifications—such as those resulting through fetal programming when racial discrimination increases prenatal cortisol exposure—may contribute to the excess risk for kidney disease among Black Americans.<sup>35</sup> Thus, although race is a poor proxy for population genetics, adverse social conditions experienced by marginalized populations are consistent and powerful contributors to disease risk. Overemphasis on racialized genetics—rather than racism—may result in insufficient examination of structural inequities as major determinants of racial disparities in kidney disease.

Future research should examine how racist—and antiracist—policies affect kidney health. Studies can assess the effects of policies like Medicaid expansion,<sup>36,37</sup> decriminalization,<sup>38</sup> or, in the future, reparations<sup>39</sup> on kidney health. Racism should be identified and carefully analyzed: Research that merely examines race as a ‘risk factor’—or provides a genetic basis for racial differences in health outcomes—should be scrupulously critiqued.<sup>40</sup> Understanding the effects of policy on health can inform both public and private action, dismantling the oppressive structures that contribute to racial disparities.



## Conclusion

Carrying two *APOLI* ‘risk’ alleles significantly increases an individual’s odds of developing kidney disease; however, even with a high-risk genotype, overall lifetime risk remains relatively low and much of the variance in risk is explained by other factors, including structural racism. Although some Black Americans carry high levels of West African ancestry due to the shameful history of chattel slavery, wide variation in *APOLI* ‘risk’ allele frequency is expected among all individuals racialized as ‘Black.’ It is therefore inappropriate to conclude that *APOLI* mutations increase risk for kidney disease among all Black Americans or to limit research or testing of *APOLI* genotypes to Black individuals: Such determinations may constrain clinical decision-making and misdirect resources to the detriment of patients. Decisions regarding *APOLI* testing in clinical care should engage key stakeholders, including a diverse group of patients, nephrologists, primary care providers, researchers, and bioethicists, considering opportunities for patient counseling and the need to develop targeted treatments.<sup>41</sup> Finally, since *APOLI* polymorphisms cannot fully explain the racial disparities in kidney disease, research that examines how structural racism mediates impairments in kidney function deserves closer attention.

### **Article Information**

**Support:** J.P.C. is funded by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Health Policy Research Scholars program and the National Institute of Health Medical Scientist Training Program Grant T32GM136651.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declare that they have no relevant financial interests.

**Peer Review:** Received August 6, 2020. Evaluated by 2 external peer reviewers, with direct editorial input from an Associate Editor and a Deputy Editor. Accepted in revised form November 17, 2020.

Journal Pre-proof

## References

1. United States Renal Data System. *2018 USRDS Annual Data Report: Epidemiology of Kidney Disease in the United States*. National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2018.
2. Kopp JB, Winkler CA. Genetics, Genomics, and Precision Medicine in End-Stage Kidney Disease. *Semin Nephrol*. 2018;38(4):317-324. doi:10.1016/j.semnephrol.2018.05.002
3. Cheatham AM, Davis SE, Khatua AK, Popik W. Blocking the 5' splice site of exon 4 by a morpholino oligomer triggers APOL1 protein isoform switch. *Scientific Reports*. 2018;8(1):8739. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-27104-x
4. Hughson MD, Hoy WE, Mott SA, Bertram JF, Winkler CA, Kopp JB. APOL1 Risk Variants Independently Associated With Early Cardiovascular Disease Death. *Kidney International Reports*. 2018;3(1):89-98. doi:10.1016/j.ekir.2017.08.007
5. Freedman BI, Moxey-Mims MM, Alexander AA, et al. APOL1 Long-term Kidney Transplantation Outcomes Network (APOLLO): Design and Rationale. *Kidney International Reports*. 2020;5(3):278-288. doi:10.1016/j.ekir.2019.11.022
6. Genovese G, Friedman DJ, Ross MD, et al. Association of Trypanolytic ApoL1 Variants with Kidney Disease in African Americans. *Science*. 2010;329(5993):841-845.
7. Thomson R, Genovese G, Canon C, et al. Evolution of the primate trypanolytic factor APOL1. *PNAS*. 2014;111(20):E2130-E2139. doi:10.1073/pnas.1400699111
8. Simino J, Rao DC, Freedman BI. Novel findings and future directions on the genetics of hypertension. *Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension*. 2012;21(5):500–507. doi:10.1097/MNH.0b013e328354e78f
9. Kopp JB, Winkler CA. Genetic Testing for APOL1 Genetic Variants in Clinical Practice: Finally Starting to Arrive. *CJASN*. 2020;15(1):126-128. doi:10.2215/CJN.01810219
10. Tsai J, Cerdeña JP, Khazanchi R, et al. There is no ‘African American Physiology’: the fallacy of racial essentialism. *Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2020;288(3):368-370. doi:10.1111/joim.13153
11. American Association of Physical Anthropologists. AAPA Statement on Race & Racism. Published March 27, 2019. Accessed March 25, 2020. <https://physanth.org/about/position-statements/aapa-statement-race-and-racism-2019/>
12. The American Society of Human Genetics. ASHG Denounces Attempts to Link Genetics and Racial Supremacy. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. 2018;103(5):636. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.10.011
13. Yudell M, Roberts D, DeSalle R, Tishkoff S. Taking race out of human genetics. *Science*. 2016;351(6273):564-565. doi:10.1126/science.aac4951

14. Bolnick D. Individual ancestry inference and the reification of race as a biological phenomenon. In: Lee S, Koenig B, Richardson SS, eds. *Revisiting Race in a Genomic Age*. Rutgers University Press; 2008:70-85.
15. Cerdeña JP, Plaisime MV, Tsai J. From race-based to race-conscious medicine: how anti-racist uprisings call us to act. *The Lancet*. 2020;396(10257):1125-1128. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32076-6
16. Kopp JB, Nelson GW, Sampath K, et al. APOL1 Genetic Variants in Focal Segmental Glomerulosclerosis and HIV-Associated Nephropathy. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2011;22(11):2129-2137. doi:10.1681/ASN.2011040388
17. Limou S, Nelson GW, Kopp JB, Winkler CA. APOL1 Kidney Risk Alleles: Population Genetics and Disease Associations. *Adv Chronic Kidney Dis*. 2014;21(5):426-433. doi:10.1053/j.ackd.2014.06.005
18. Nadkarni GN, Gignoux CR, Sorokin EP, et al. Worldwide Frequencies of APOL1 Renal Risk Variants. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2018;379(26):2571-2572. doi:10.1056/NEJMc1800748
19. Dummer PD, Limou S, Rosenberg AZ, et al. APOL1 Kidney Disease Risk Variants: An Evolving Landscape. *Seminars in Nephrology*. 2015;35(3):222-236. doi:10.1016/j.semnephrol.2015.04.008
20. Capps R, McCabe K, Fix M. *Diverse Streams: Black African Migration to the United States*. Migration Policy Institute; 2012:1-23. <https://www.fcd-us.org/assets/2016/04/African-Migration-to-the-United-States.pdf>
21. Gordon EJ, Amórtegui D, Blancas I, Wicklund C, Friedewald J, Sharp RR. A Focus Group Study on African American Living Donors' Treatment Preferences, Sociocultural Factors, and Health Beliefs About Apolipoprotein L1 Genetic Testing. *Prog Transpl*. 2019;29(3):239-247. doi:10.1177/1526924819854485
22. Julian BA, Gaston RS, Brown WM, et al. Effect of Replacing Race With Apolipoprotein L1 Genotype in Calculation of Kidney Donor Risk Index. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2017;17(6):1540-1548. doi:10.1111/ajt.14113
23. Kaufman JS, Rushani D, Cooper RS. Nature versus nurture in the explanations for racial/ethnic health disparities. In: Suzuki K, Von Vacano DA, eds. *Reconsidering Race: Social Science Perspectives on Racial Categories in the Age of Genomics*. Oxford University Press; 2018:120.
24. Bailey ZD, Krieger N, Agénor M, Graves J, Linos N, Bassett MT. Structural racism and health inequities in the USA: evidence and interventions. *The Lancet*. 2017;389(10077):1453-1463. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30569-X

25. Nicholas SB, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Norris KC. Racial Disparities in Kidney Disease Outcomes. *Seminars in Nephrology*. 2013;33(5):409-415. doi:10.1016/j.semnephrol.2013.07.002
26. Laster M, Shen JI, Norris KC. Kidney Disease Among African Americans: A Population Perspective. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*. 2018;72(5):S3-S7. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2018.06.021
27. Young BA. The Interaction of Race, Poverty, and CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2010;55(6):977-980. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2010.04.008
28. Norris K, Mehrotra R, Nissenson AR. Racial Differences in Mortality and End-Stage Renal Disease. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2008;52(2):205-208. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2008.06.004
29. Crews DC, Purnell TS. COVID-19, Racism, and Racial Disparities in Kidney Disease: Galvanizing the Kidney Community Response. *JASN*. 2020;31(8):1-3. doi:10.1681/ASN.2020060809
30. Ayazi H, Elsheikh E. The US Farm Bill: Corporate Power and Structural Racialization in the US Food System. Published online October 28, 2015. Accessed July 22, 2020. <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/55v6q06x>
31. Wang EA, Pletcher M, Lin F, et al. Incarceration, Incident Hypertension, and Access to Health Care: Findings From the Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) Study. *Arch Intern Med*. 2009;169(7):687-693. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2009.26
32. Arnold EA, Rebchook GM, Kegeles SM. 'Triply cursed': racism, homophobia and HIV-related stigma are barriers to regular HIV testing, treatment adherence and disclosure among young Black gay men. *Culture, Health & Sexuality*. 2014;16(6):710-722. doi:10.1080/13691058.2014.905706
33. Hardeman RR, Karbeah J. Examining racism in health services research: A disciplinary self-critique. *Health Services Research*. 2020;55(S2):777-780. doi:10.1111/1475-6773.13558
34. Krieger N. Stormy Weather: Race, Gene Expression, and the Science of Health Disparities. *Am J Public Health*. 2005;95(12):2155-2160. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2005.067108
35. Kuzawa CW, Sweet E. Epigenetics and the embodiment of race: developmental origins of US racial disparities in cardiovascular health. *American Journal of Human Biology*. 2009;21(1):2-15. doi:10.1002/ajhb.20822
36. Sommers BD, Blendon RJ, Orav EJ, Epstein AM. Changes in Utilization and Health Among Low-Income Adults After Medicaid Expansion or Expanded Private Insurance. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2016;176(10):1501-1509. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.4419

37. Kurella-Tamura M, Goldstein BA, Hall YN, Mitani AA, Winkelmayr WC. State Medicaid Coverage, ESRD Incidence, and Access to Care. *JASN*. 2014;25(6):1321-1329. doi:10.1681/ASN.2013060658
38. Grucza RA, Vuolo M, Krauss MJ, et al. Cannabis decriminalization: A study of recent policy change in five U.S. states. *International Journal of Drug Policy*. 2018;59:67-75. doi:10.1016/j.drugpo.2018.06.016
39. Vigdor N. North Carolina City Approves Reparations for Black Residents. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/07/16/us/reparations-asheville-nc.html>. Published July 16, 2020. Accessed July 22, 2020.
40. Boyd RW, Lindo EG, Weeks LD, McLemore MR. On Racism: A New Standard For Publishing On Racial Health Inequities | Health Affairs. Health Affairs Blog. Published July 2, 2020. Accessed July 23, 2020. <https://www.healthaffairs.org/doi/10.1377/hblog20200630.939347/full/>
41. Young BA, Blacksher E, Cavanaugh KL, et al. Apolipoprotein L1 Testing in African Americans: Involving the Community in Policy Discussions. *AJN*. 2019;50(4):303-311. doi:10.1159/000502675